Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,240	FUCHS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMMANUEL MAGLO	2472
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>EMMANUEL MAGLO</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert G. Lev.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 March 2011	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 1, 88 and 89		
Claims discussed: 1-8, 10-27, 33-36, 88, 89, 91-98 and 100		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Cancelling Claims 1-8, 10-27, 33-36, 88, 89, 91-98 to further advance prosecution. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/E. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 2472 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)